1 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

INTEGRATED DYNAMICS SOLUTIONS, INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 2:12-cv-00815-MMD-PAL

vs.

Defendants.

FASTRAN, LLC,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:12-cv-01156-MMD-PAL

Case No. 2:12-cv-01156-MMD-PAL

vs.

Defendants.

ORDER

This matter is before the court on the parties' failure to file a joint pretrial order required by LR 26-1(e)(5). The last Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (Dkt. #27) filed November 19, 2012, required the parties to file a joint pretrial order required by LR 26-1(e)(5) no later than April 4, 2013, or thirty days after dispositive motions were ruled upon. This case was consolidated with case no 2:12-cv-1156 MMD PAL. Counter Defendants filed a Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. #29) December 4, 2012, which the District Judge granted in an Order (Dkt. #43) entered April 23, 2013 dismissing 2:12-cv-1156 with prejudice, and dismissing all counterclaims in this consolidated case. The remaining parties were required to file a joint pretrial order within 30 days after a decision of the summary judgment motion. To date, the parties have not complied. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Counsel for the parties shall file a joint pretrial order which fully complies with the

requirements of LR 16-3 and LR 16-4 no later than **4:00 p.m.**, **July 31, 2013.** Failure to timely comply may result in the imposition of sanctions up to and including a recommendation to the District Judge of case dispositive sanctions. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

2. The disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) and any objections thereto shall be included in the pretrial order.

Dated this 5th day of July, 2013.

Peggy A. Lee United States Magistrate Judge